On the facial structure of the Common Edge Subgraph polytope

Gordana Manić, Laura Bahiense and Cid de Souza

Universidade Estadual de Campinas, SP, Brazil and Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

CTW 2008

Summary

Previous polyhedral study New IP formulation Preliminary computational results Concluding remarks



• Common Edge Subgraph problem

- Definition
- Applications

イロン 不同と 不同と 不同と

æ

Summary

Previous polyhedral study New IP formulation Preliminary computational results Concluding remarks



• Common Edge Subgraph problem

- Definition
- Applications
- Previous polyhedral study

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

æ

Previous polyhedral study New IP formulation Preliminary computational results Concluding remarks

Summary MCES

Summary

- Common Edge Subgraph problem
 - Definition
 - Applications
- Previous polyhedral study
- Our contribution
 - New integer programming formulation
 - Valid inequalities and facets of the polytope

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Summary

Previous polyhedral study New IP formulation Preliminary computational results Concluding remarks



- Common Edge Subgraph problem
 - Definition
 - Applications
- Previous polyhedral study
- Our contribution
 - New integer programming formulation
 - Valid inequalities and facets of the polytope
- Preliminary computational results

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Previous polyhedral study New IP formulation Preliminary computational results Concluding remarks

):

Summary MCES

Maximum Common Edge Subgraph Problem

Definition (Bol

Given: two graphs with $|V_G| = |V_H|$

Find: a common subgraph of G and H, (not necessary induced) with the maximum number of EDGES.

Previous polyhedral study New IP formulation Preliminary computational results Concluding remarks

):

Summary MCES

Maximum Common Edge Subgraph Problem

Definition (Bok

Given: two graphs with $|V_G| = |V_H|$ Find: a common subgraph of *G* and *H*, (not necessary induced) with the maximum number of EDGES.

We denote this problem by MSEC (Maximum Common Edge Subgraph).

Previous polyhedral study New IP formulation Preliminary computational results Concluding remarks

Summary MCES

Maximum Common Edge Subgraph Problem

Definition (Bok

Given: two graphs with $|V_G| = |V_H|$ Find: a common subgraph of *G* and *H*, (not necessary induced) with the maximum number of EDGES.

We denote this problem by $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MSEC}}$ (Maximum Common Edge Subgraph).



Previous polyhedral study New IP formulation Preliminary computational results Concluding remarks

Summary MCES

MCES-Example



G



Э

Previous polyhedral study New IP formulation Preliminary computational results Concluding remarks

Summary MCES

MCES-Application

Application 1: Parallel programming environments

G: task interaction graph (edges join pairs of tasks with communication demands)

H: processors graph (pair of processors being joined by an edge when they are directly connected).

Problem: Find mapping of tasks to processors s.t. number of neighboring tasks assigned onto connected processors is maximized.

Previous polyhedral study New IP formulation Preliminary computational results Concluding remarks

Summary MCES

MCES-Application

Application 1: Parallel programming environments

G: task interaction graph (edges join pairs of tasks with communication demands)

H: processors graph (pair of processors being joined by an edge when they are directly connected).

Problem: Find mapping of tasks to processors s.t. number of neighboring tasks assigned onto connected processors is maximized.

Application 2: Graph isomorphism problem

When $|E_G| = |E_H|$, there exists a common subgraph with $|E_G|$ edges, iff, *G* and *H* are isomorphic.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Previous polyhedral study New IP formulation Preliminary computational results Concluding remarks

Summary MCES

MCES-More applications and complexity

Application 3: Chemistry and biology

Matching 2D and 3D chemical structures Raymond 02

Previous polyhedral study New IP formulation Preliminary computational results Concluding remarks

Summary MCES

MCES-More applications and complexity

Application 3: Chemistry and biology

Matching 2D and 3D chemical structures Raymond 02

Complexity

MCES is NP-hard.

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

Previous polyhedral study New IP formulation Preliminary computational results Concluding remarks

Summary MCES

MCES-More applications and complexity

Application 3: Chemistry and biology

Matching 2D and 3D chemical structures Raymond 02

Complexity

MCES is NP-hard.

Goal:

Find exact/optimal solution of MCES instances using integer programming (IP) techniques and polyhedral combinatorics.

IP formulation

Previous polyhedral study

• Master's thesis Marenco 99 presented:

IP formulation for MCES some valid inequalities and facets for corresponding polytope computational results.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

IP formulation

Previous polyhedral study

• Master's thesis Marenco 99 presented:

IP formulation for MCES some valid inequalities and facets for corresponding polytope computational results.

 Subsequent works by Marenco Marenco 06 present new classes of valid inequalities for MCES, but no new computational experiments.

IP formulation

IP formulation for MCES

$$y_{ik} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if vertex } i \text{ is mapped to vertex } k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

 $x_{ij} := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if exists } kl \in E_H \text{ such that } i \text{ is mapped to } k \text{ and } j \text{ to } l \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$

IP formulation presented by Marenco:

$$\begin{split} \max \sum_{ij \in E_G} x_{ij} \\ \sum_{k \in V_H} y_{ik} = 1, \quad \forall i \in V_G \\ \sum_{i \in V_G} y_{ik} = 1, \quad \forall k \in V_H \\ x_{ij} + y_{ik} \leq 1 + \sum_{l \in N(k)} y_{jl}, \quad \forall ij \in E_G, \forall k \in V_H \\ y_{ik} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \forall i \in V_G, \forall k \in V_H; \quad x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \forall ij \in E_G \end{split}$$

IP formulation

IP formulation for MCES

Note:

Consider inequality

$$x_{ij} + y_{ik} \leq 1 + \sum_{l \in N(k)} y_{jl}, \quad \forall ij \in E_G, \forall k \in V_H.$$

Let *ij* be a fixed edge in *G*, and *k* a fixed vertex from *H*. Then $x_{ij} = 1$ iff *j* is mapped to a neighbour of *k*.

IP formulation

IP formulation for MCES

Note:

Consider inequality

$$x_{ij} + y_{ik} \leq 1 + \sum_{l \in N(k)} y_{jl}, \quad \forall ij \in E_G, \forall k \in V_H.$$

Let *ij* be a fixed edge in *G*, and *k* a fixed vertex from *H*. Then $x_{ij} = 1$ iff *j* is mapped to a neighbour of *k*.

Theorem (Marenco 99):

 $\dim(\operatorname{conv}(S)) = (|V_G| - 1)^2 + |E_G|$, where S is the set of feasible integer solutions of the problem, and $\operatorname{conv}(S)$ its convex hull.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

New IP formulation

$$c_{ijkl} := \left\{ egin{array}{cl} 1 & ext{if } ij ext{ is mapped to } kl \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{array}
ight.$$

New IP formulation:

$$\begin{array}{c} \max \sum_{ij \in E_G} \sum_{kl \in E_H} c_{ijkl} \\ \sum_{k \in V_H} y_{ik} \leq 1, \quad \forall i \in V_G \\ \sum_{i \in V_G} y_{ik} \leq 1, \quad \forall k \in V_H \\ \sum_{kl \in E_H} c_{ijkl} \leq \sum_{k \in V_H} y_{ik}, \quad \forall ij \in E_G \\ \sum_{ij \in E_G} c_{ijkl} \leq \sum_{i \in V_G} y_{ik}, \quad \forall kl \in E_H \\ \sum_{j \in N(i)} c_{ijkl} \leq y_{ik} + y_{il}, \quad \forall i \in V_G, \forall kl \in E_H \\ \sum_{l \in N(k)} c_{ijkl} \leq y_{ik} + y_{jk}, \quad \forall ij \in E_G, \forall k \in V_H \\ c_{ijkl} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \forall ij \in E_G, \forall kl \in E_H \end{array}$$

Manić, Bahiense and Souza

Common Edge Subgraph polytope

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

New IP formulation

We decided to work with the monotonous model since the proofs of facet-defining inequalities are easier than in the model given in Marenco 99.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

æ

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

New IP formulation

We decided to work with the monotonous model since the proofs of facet-defining inequalities are easier than in the model given in Marenco 99.

This is because the monotone polytope associated to the above formulation can be easily shown to be full-dimensional.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

New IP formulation

• Can be shown that inequalities from our model

$$\sum_{j \in N(i)} c_{ijkl} \leq y_{ik} + y_{il}, \quad \forall i \in V_G, \forall kl \in E_H \\ \sum_{l \in N(k)} c_{ijkl} \leq y_{ik} + y_{jk}, \quad \forall ij \in E_G, \forall k \in V_H$$

force that if ij is mapped to kl, then i is mapped to k and j to l, or vice versa.

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン ・ヨン

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Our contribution

• We present facets and other valid inequalities for the polytope *P* given by the convex hull of the integer solutions of the our IP model.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Our contribution

- We present facets and other valid inequalities for the polytope *P* given by the convex hull of the integer solutions of the our IP model.
- We present here only the proofs of validity of the corresponding inequalities.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Valid inequalities and facets: inequalities from model

Theorem 1:

Inequalities from model

$$\begin{split} \sum_{kl \in E_H} c_{ijkl} &\leq \sum_{k \in V_H} y_{ik}, \quad \forall ij \in E_G \\ \sum_{ij \in E_G} c_{ijkl} &\leq \sum_{i \in V_G} y_{ik}, \quad \forall kl \in E_H \\ \sum_{j \in N(i)} c_{ijkl} &\leq y_{ik} + y_{il}, \quad \forall i \in V_G, \forall kl \in E_H \\ \sum_{l \in N(k)} c_{ijkl} &\leq y_{ik} + y_{jk}, \quad \forall ij \in E_G, \forall k \in V_H \end{split}$$

define facets.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Valid inequalities and facets: inequalities from model

Theorem 1:

Inequalities from model

$$\begin{split} \sum_{kl \in E_H} c_{ijkl} &\leq \sum_{k \in V_H} y_{ik}, \quad \forall ij \in E_G \\ \sum_{ij \in E_G} c_{ijkl} &\leq \sum_{i \in V_G} y_{ik}, \quad \forall kl \in E_H \\ \sum_{j \in N(i)} c_{ijkl} &\leq y_{ik} + y_{il}, \quad \forall i \in V_G, \forall kl \in E_H \\ \sum_{l \in N(k)} c_{ijkl} &\leq y_{ik} + y_{jk}, \quad \forall ij \in E_G, \forall k \in V_H \end{split}$$

define facets.

Proof:

Using standard techniques from Polyhedral Combinatorics.

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Valid inequalities that involve degrees of the vertices

Theorem 2:

Following inequality that involves degrees of the vertices is valid in model given by Marenco 99.

$$\sum_{j \in N(i)} x_{ij} \leq \sum_{k \in V_H} \min\{d_G(i), d_H(k)\} y_{ik}, \quad \text{for all } i \in V_G.$$

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Facets that involve degrees of the vertices

Theorem 2*:

Let

- i be a fixed vertex from G,
- k a fixed vertex from H,
- $I \subseteq N(i)$ and
- $K \subseteq N(k).$

Then, following inequalities are valid and define facets in our model.

$$\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{l \in K} c_{ijkl} \le |I| y_{ik} + \sum_{p \in K} y_{ip}, \text{ if } |I| < |K|.$$

$$\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{l \in K} c_{ijkl} \le |K| y_{ik} + \sum_{p \in I} y_{pk}, \text{ if } |I| > |K|.$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Facets that involve degrees of the vertices

Proof:

We prove that $\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{l \in K} c_{ijkl} \leq |I| y_{ik} + \sum_{p \in K} y_{ip}$, if |I| < |K| is valid.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Facets that involve degrees of the vertices

Proof:

We prove that $\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{l \in K} c_{ijkl} \le |I|y_{ik} + \sum_{p \in K} y_{ip}$, if |I| < |K| is valid. If $c_{ijkl} = 0$ for every $j \in I$ and $l \in K$ then trivial.

ヘロン 人間 とくほど くほとう

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Facets that involve degrees of the vertices

Proof:

We prove that $\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{l \in K} c_{ijkl} \leq |I| y_{ik} + \sum_{p \in K} y_{ip}$, if |I| < |K| is valid.

If *i* is mapped to $k \Longrightarrow$

Num. of edges ij s.t. $j \in I$ that can be mapped to edges kI from H s.t. $I \in K$ is at most min $\{|I|, |K|\} = |I|$. Hence, $\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{l \in K} c_{ijkl} \leq |I| \leq |I| y_{ik} + \sum_{p \in K} y_{ip}$.



New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Facets that involve degrees of the vertices

Proof:

We prove that $\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{l \in K} c_{ijkl} \leq |I| y_{ik} + \sum_{p \in K} y_{ip}$, if |I| < |K| is valid. If *i* is mapped to a $k' \in V_H$ s.t. $k' \neq k \Longrightarrow$ $\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{l \in K} c_{ijkl} \leq 1$. If $\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{l \in K} c_{ijkl} = 1$ then *i* is mapped to a vertex from *K* (that is, $k' \in K$), and some $j \in I$ must be mapped to *k*.



New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Facets that involve degrees of the vertices

We obtained inequalities that generalize the result of Theorem 2^* .

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Facets that involve degrees of the vertices

We obtained inequalities that generalize the result of Theorem 2*. Given an edge *ij* in *G*, and *kl* in *H*,sets $I \subseteq N(i) \setminus \{j\}, J \subseteq N(j) \setminus \{i\}, K \subseteq N(k) \setminus \{l\}, L \subseteq N(l) \setminus \{k\}$, our inequality bounds the number of edges from the set $E_{ij} := \{ij\} \cup (\delta(i) \cap \delta(l)) \cup (\delta(j) \cap \delta(J))$ that can be mapped to edges from the set $W_{kl} := \{kl\} \cup (\delta(k) \cap \delta(K)) \cup (\delta(l) \cap \delta(L))$.



New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Facets that involve maximal matching in H

Benefit of having an extended formulation including variables c_{ijkl}:

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ
New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Facets that involve maximal matching in H

Benefit of having an extended formulation including variables c_{ijkl} : We are able to express a simple inequality which can not be written in the model given by Marenco 99.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Facets that involve maximal matching in H

Benefit of having an extended formulation including variables c_{ijkl} : We are able to express a simple inequality which can not be written in the model given by Marenco 99.

Theorem 3:

Let G' be an induced subgraph of G s.t. $|V_{G'}| = 2p + 1$ and G' has an hamiltonian cycle. Let M be a maximal matching in H. Then inequality

$$\sum_{ij\in E_{G'}}\sum_{kl\in M}c_{ijkl}\leq p$$

is valid. If $|M| \ge p + 1$, then the inequality above defines a facet.

イロン イビン イビン イビン

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Facets that involve maximal matching in H

Proof:

Proof that $\sum_{ij \in E_{G'}} \sum_{kl \in M} c_{ijkl} \leq p$ is valid, where *G*'is induced subgraph of *G* s.t. $|V_{G'}| = 2p + 1$ and *G*' has an hamiltonian cycle.

M is a maximal matching in H.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Facets that involve maximal matching in H

Proof:

Proof that $\sum_{ij \in E_{G'}} \sum_{kl \in M} c_{ijkl} \leq p$ is valid, where *G*'is induced subgraph of *G* s.t. $|V_{G'}| = 2p + 1$ and *G*' has an hamiltonian cycle.

M is a maximal matching in H.

Since $|V_{G'}| = 2p + 1$, there are at most p vertex-disjoint edges in G'.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Facets that involve maximal matching in H

Proof:

Proof that $\sum_{ij \in E_{G'}} \sum_{kl \in M} c_{ijkl} \leq p$ is valid, where G' is induced subgraph of G s.t. $|V_{G'}| = 2p + 1$ and G' has an hamiltonian cycle.

M is a maximal matching in H.

Since $|V_{G'}| = 2p + 1$, there are at most p vertex-disjoint edges in G'.



New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Inequalities that explore the structure of the graphs

Instances that serves to test our implementation of the B&C algorithm present a high degree of simmetry.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Inequalities that explore the structure of the graphs

Instances that serves to test our implementation of the B&C algorithm present a high degree of simmetry.

For example, task interaction graph of most of the instances are regular grids.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Inequalities that explore the structure of the graphs

Instances that serves to test our implementation of the B&C algorithm present a high degree of simmetry.

For example, task interaction graph of most of the instances are regular grids.

That is why, we tried to find valid inequalities that explore the structure of the input graphs, in order to obtain better upper bounds for the problem.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Inequalities that explore the structure of the graphs

Theorem 4 Let k_G : max. num. of edge disjoint k-cycles in G k_H : max. num. of edge disjoint k-cycles in H. If $k_G \ge k_H$, then the following inequality is valid.

$$\sum_{e \in E_G} \sum_{w \in E_H} c_{ew} \leq |E_G| - (k_G - k_H), \text{ if } |E_G| \leq |E_H|.$$

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Inequalities that explore the structure of the graphs

 k_G (resp. k_H): max. num. of edge disjoint k-cycles in G (resp. H)

$$\sum_{e \in E_G} \sum_{w \in E_H} c_{ew} \leq |E_G| - (k_G - k_H), \text{ if } |E_G| \leq |E_H|.$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Inequalities that explore the structure of the graphs

 k_G (resp. k_H): max. num. of edge disjoint k-cycles in G (resp. H)

$$\sum_{e \in E_G} \sum_{w \in E_H} c_{ew} \leq |E_G| - (k_G - k_H), \text{ if } |E_G| \leq |E_H|.$$



(a)



(b)

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Inequalities that explore the structure of the graphs

 k_G (resp. k_H): max. num. of edge disjoint k-cycles in G (resp. H)

$$\sum_{\mathsf{e}\in E_G}\sum_{w\in E_H}c_{\mathsf{e}w} \leq |E_G|-(k_G-k_H), \text{ if } |E_G|\leq |E_H|.$$





イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

(a) G is a 4-regular grid. It has 6 edge disjoint triangles (highlited edges). (b) H has no triangles.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Inequalities that explore the structure of the graphs

 k_G (resp. k_H): max. num. of edge disjoint k-cycles in G (resp. H)

$$\sum_{\mathsf{e}\in E_G}\sum_{\mathsf{w}\in E_H}c_{\mathsf{ew}} \leq |E_G|-(k_G-k_H), \text{ if } |E_G|\leq |E_H|.$$



(a)



(b)

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶

(a) G is a 4-regular grid. It has 6 edge disjoint triangles (highlited edges). (b) H has no triangles. $\sum_{e \in E_G} \sum_{w \in E_H} c_{ew} \le |E_G| - (k_G - k_H) = 36 - (6 - 0) = 30.$

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Inequalities that explore the structure of the graphs

 k_G (resp. k_H): max. num. of edge disjoint k-cycles in G (resp. H)

$$\sum_{e \in E_G} \sum_{w \in E_H} c_{ew} \leq |E_G| - (k_G - k_H), \text{ if } |E_G| \leq |E_H|.$$



(a)



(b)

(a) G is a 4-regular grid. It has 6 edge disjoint triangles (highlited edges). (b) H has no triangles. $\sum_{e \in E_G} \sum_{w \in E_H} c_{ew} \le |E_G| - (k_G - k_H) = 36 - (6 - 0) = 30.$ Obtained lower bound for this instance is $30 \Longrightarrow$ optimal sol. is 30.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Inequalities that explore the structure of the graphs

 k_G (resp. k_H): max. num. of edge disjoint k-cycles in G (resp. H)

$$\sum_{e \in E_G} \sum_{w \in E_H} c_{ew} \leq |E_G| - (k_G - k_H), \text{ if } |E_G| \leq |E_H|.$$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Inequalities that explore the structure of the graphs

 k_G (resp. k_H): max. num. of edge disjoint k-cycles in G (resp. H)

$$\sum_{e \in E_G} \sum_{w \in E_H} c_{ew} \leq |E_G| - (k_G - k_H), \text{ if } |E_G| \leq |E_H|.$$

Note: above inequality can be generalized:

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Inequalities that explore the structure of the graphs

 k_G (resp. k_H): max. num. of edge disjoint k-cycles in G (resp. H)

$$\sum_{e \in E_G} \sum_{w \in E_H} c_{ew} \leq |E_G| - (k_G - k_H), \text{ if } |E_G| \leq |E_H|.$$

Note: above inequality can be generalized: Given any special graph, say \mathcal{S} , above inequality is valid for numbers

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Inequalities that explore the structure of the graphs

 k_G (resp. k_H): max. num. of edge disjoint k-cycles in G (resp. H)

$$\sum_{e \in E_G} \sum_{w \in E_H} c_{ew} \leq |E_G| - (k_G - k_H), \text{ if } |E_G| \leq |E_H|.$$

Note: above inequality can be generalized:

Given any special graph, say $\ensuremath{\mathcal{S}}$, above inequality is valid for numbers

 k_G : max. num. of edge disjoint subgraphs in G, s.t. each of those subgraphs is isomorphic to S, and

 k_H : max. num. of edge disjoint subgraphs in H, s.t. each of those subgraphs is isomorphic to S.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Other inequalities

By lifting technique, we obtained a few stronger valid inequalities than given in Marenco 99.

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

æ

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Other inequalities

Consider inequality:

$$x_{ij} \leq \sum_{u \in U} (y_{iu} + y_{ju}), \text{ for all } ij \in E_G.$$

where U is a vertex cover of graph H.

イロン 不同と 不同と 不同と

æ

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Other inequalities

Consider inequality:

$$x_{ij} \leq \sum_{u \in U} (y_{iu} + y_{ju}), \quad \text{for all } ij \in E_G.$$

where U is a vertex cover of graph H.

Above inequality defines a facet in model given in Marenco 99, if U is a minimal vertex cover of H.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Other inequalities

Consider inequality:

$$x_{ij} \leq \sum_{u \in U} (y_{iu} + y_{ju}), \quad \text{for all } ij \in E_G.$$

where U is a vertex cover of graph H.

Above inequality defines a facet in model given in Marenco 99, if U is a minimal vertex cover of H.

However, this inequality does not define a facet in our model.

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Other inequalities

Consider inequality:

$$x_{ij} \leq \sum_{u \in U} (y_{iu} + y_{ju}), \quad \text{for all } ij \in E_G.$$

where U is a vertex cover of graph H.

Above inequality defines a facet in model given in Marenco 99, if U is a minimal vertex cover of H.

However, this inequality does not define a facet in our model. It is dominated by inequality from model:

$$\sum_{l\in N(k)} c_{ijkl} \leq y_{ik} + y_{jk}, \quad \forall ij \in E_G, \forall k \in V_H$$
(1)

New IP formulation Valid inequalities and facets

Other inequalities

Consider inequality:

$$x_{ij} \leq \sum_{u \in U} (y_{iu} + y_{ju}), \quad \text{for all } ij \in E_G.$$

where U is a vertex cover of graph H.

Above inequality defines a facet in model given in Marenco 99, if U is a minimal vertex cover of H.

However, this inequality does not define a facet in our model. It is dominated by inequality from model:

$$\sum_{l\in N(k)} c_{ijkl} \leq y_{ik} + y_{jk}, \quad \forall ij \in E_G, \forall k \in V_H$$
(1)

Indeed, let ij be a fixed edge from G, and U be a minimal vertex cover of H.

By summing inequalities (1) for all $u \in U$ we get $\sum_{kl \in E_H} c_{ijkl} \leq \sum_{u \in U} \sum_{l \in N(u)} c_{ijul} \leq \sum_{u \in U} (y_{iu} + y_{ju}).$

Preliminary computational results

Preliminary computational results

 Our polyhedral investigation was the starting point of our branch-and-bound (B&B) and branch-and-cut (B&C) algorithms.

→ ∃ →

Preliminary computational results

Preliminary computational results

- Our polyhedral investigation was the starting point of our branch-and-bound (B&B) and branch-and-cut (B&C) algorithms.
- We used the same 71 instances from Marenco 99

▲ □ ► ▲ □ ►

→ ∃ →

Preliminary computational results

Preliminary computational results

- Our polyhedral investigation was the starting point of our branch-and-bound (B&B) and branch-and-cut (B&C) algorithms.
- We used the same 71 instances from Marenco 99
- 16 instances are very small (|V_G| < 10), 19 having 20 vertices each 9 having at least 30 vertices. The largest instance has 36 vertices.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ト

Preliminary computational results

Preliminary computational results

- Our polyhedral investigation was the starting point of our branch-and-bound (B&B) and branch-and-cut (B&C) algorithms.
- We used the same 71 instances from Marenco 99
- 16 instances are very small (|V_G| < 10), 19 having 20 vertices each 9 having at least 30 vertices. The largest instance has 36 vertices.
- All graphs are sparse and highly symmetric, most of them being regular.

Preliminary computational results

Preliminary computational results

- Our polyhedral investigation was the starting point of our branch-and-bound (B&B) and branch-and-cut (B&C) algorithms.
- We used the same 71 instances from Marenco 99
- 16 instances are very small ($|V_G| < 10$), 19 having 20 vertices each 9 having at least 30 vertices. The largest instance has 36 vertices.
- All graphs are sparse and highly symmetric, most of them being regular.
- We used: Pentium IV com 2.66 GHz, 1 GB de RAM;

Preliminary computational results

Preliminary computational results

- Our polyhedral investigation was the starting point of our branch-and-bound (B&B) and branch-and-cut (B&C) algorithms.
- We used the same 71 instances from Marenco 99
- 16 instances are very small (|V_G| < 10), 19 having 20 vertices each 9 having at least 30 vertices. The largest instance has 36 vertices.
- All graphs are sparse and highly symmetric, most of them being regular.
- We used: Pentium IV com 2.66 GHz, 1 GB de RAM;
- We used Xpress-Optimizer v17.01.02 as the IP solver;

Preliminary computational results

Preliminary computational results

- Our polyhedral investigation was the starting point of our branch-and-bound (B&B) and branch-and-cut (B&C) algorithms.
- We used the same 71 instances from Marenco 99
- 16 instances are very small (|V_G| < 10), 19 having 20 vertices each 9 having at least 30 vertices. The largest instance has 36 vertices.
- All graphs are sparse and highly symmetric, most of them being regular.
- We used: Pentium IV com 2.66 GHz, 1 GB de RAM;
- We used Xpress-Optimizer v17.01.02 as the IP solver;
- \bullet We used MOSEL language to code our programs.

Preliminary computational results

• Fast polynomial time algorithm was designed to separate inequalities that involve degrees of vertices:

$$\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{l \in K} c_{ijkl} \leq |I| y_{ik} + \sum_{p \in K} y_{ip}, \text{ if } |I| < |K|.$$

$$\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{l \in K} c_{ijkl} \leq |K| y_{ik} + \sum_{p \in I} y_{pk}, \text{ if } |I| > |K|.$$

▲ □ ► ▲ □ ►

• 3 >

Preliminary computational results

• Fast polynomial time algorithm was designed to separate inequalities that involve degrees of vertices:

$$\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{l \in K} c_{ijkl} \leq |I| y_{ik} + \sum_{p \in K} y_{ip}, \text{ if } |I| < |K|.$$

$$\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{l \in K} c_{ijkl} \leq |K| y_{ik} + \sum_{p \in I} y_{pk}, \text{ if } |I| > |K|.$$

 Separation routine to inequality that involves maximal matching in H was implemented for p = 1,2:

$$\sum_{ij\in E_{G'}}\sum_{kl\in M}c_{ijkl}\leq p$$

Preliminary computational results

• Fast polynomial time algorithm was designed to separate inequalities that involve degrees of vertices:

$$\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{l \in K} c_{ijkl} \leq |I| y_{ik} + \sum_{p \in K} y_{ip}, \text{ if } |I| < |K|.$$

$$\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{l \in K} c_{ijkl} \leq |K| y_{ik} + \sum_{p \in I} y_{pk}, \text{ if } |I| > |K|.$$

 Separation routine to inequality that involves maximal matching in H was implemented for p = 1,2:

$$\sum_{ij\in E_{G'}}\sum_{kl\in M}c_{ijkl}\leq p$$

• Inequalities that explore the structure of the graphs

$$\sum_{e \in E_G} \sum_{w \in E_H} c_{ew} \leq |E_G| - (k_G - k_H), \text{ if } |E_G| \leq |E_H|$$

were added a priori for k = 3, 4, 5.

イロト イポト イラト イラト

Preliminary computational results

Preliminary computational results

• Simple, though efficient, heuristic based on the solutions of the linear relaxations computed during the enumeration.

Preliminary computational results

Preliminary computational results

- Simple, though efficient, heuristic based on the solutions of the linear relaxations computed during the enumeration.
- B&C algorithm outperformed the standard B&B algorithm.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6
Preliminary computational results

Preliminary computational results

- Simple, though efficient, heuristic based on the solutions of the linear relaxations computed during the enumeration.
- B&C algorithm outperformed the standard B&B algorithm.
- Using B&C algorithm, we solved 39 instances (Marenco 99 solved 31).

Preliminary computational results

Preliminary computational results

- Simple, though efficient, heuristic based on the solutions of the linear relaxations computed during the enumeration.
- B&C algorithm outperformed the standard B&B algorithm.
- Using B&C algorithm, we solved 39 instances (Marenco 99 solved 31).
- Among unsolved instances:
 - 19 have duality gap of at most 10%,
 - 2 11 have gap between 10 and 20%,
 - only 2 have gap greater than 20%.

- 4 同下 4 日下 4 日下

Preliminary computational results

- Simple, though efficient, heuristic based on the solutions of the linear relaxations computed during the enumeration.
- B&C algorithm outperformed the standard B&B algorithm.
- Using B&C algorithm, we solved 39 instances (Marenco 99 solved 31).
- Among unsolved instances:
 - 19 have duality gap of at most 10%,
 - 2 11 have gap between 10 and 20%,
 - only 2 have gap greater than 20%.
- Algorithm is quite fast:

only few instances required more than 10 minutes to be solved and the execution time never exceeded 14 minutes.

ロ と (母) (臣) (臣)

Concluding remarks

Concluding remarks

• With our extended formulation which include variables that interlaces edges of *G* with edges of *H*, we gain on expressiveness with respect to the model given in Marenco 99.

Concluding remarks

Concluding remarks

- With our extended formulation which include variables that interlaces edges of *G* with edges of *H*, we gain on expressiveness with respect to the model given in Marenco 99.
- We focused on a polyhedral investigation of this new model and presented some valid inequalities and facets.

Concluding remarks

Concluding remarks

- With our extended formulation which include variables that interlaces edges of *G* with edges of *H*, we gain on expressiveness with respect to the model given in Marenco 99.
- We focused on a polyhedral investigation of this new model and presented some valid inequalities and facets.
- This study led to some advance in obtaining the exact solutions to the MCES problem using IP and **B**&**C** algorithm.

Concluding remarks

Concluding remarks

- With our extended formulation which include variables that interlaces edges of *G* with edges of *H*, we gain on expressiveness with respect to the model given in Marenco 99.
- We focused on a polyhedral investigation of this new model and presented some valid inequalities and facets.
- This study led to some advance in obtaining the exact solutions to the MCES problem using IP and **B&C** algorithm.
- Those computational results are preliminary. We will preform more robust test in the future.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Concluding remarks

- [1] S. Bokhari. On the mapping problem. *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, C-30(3), 1981.
- [2] J. Marenco. Un algoritmo branch-and-cut para el problema de mapping.

Master's thesis, Universidade de Buenos Aires, 1999. Supervisor: I. Loiseau.

- [3] J. Marenco New facets of the mapping polytope. In CLAIO, 2006.
- [4] J. W. Raymond and P. Willett. Maximum common subgraph isomorphism algorithms for the matching of chemical structures.
 - J. of Computer-Aided Molecular Design, 16:521–533, 2002.